

ENTERPRISE, PLANNING AND INFRASTRUCTURE

MOTIONS LIST

31 January 2012

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
1.	<p><u>Motion by Councillor Kevin Stewart MSP</u></p> <p>“That this Council agrees that a referendum on the future of Union Terrace Gardens be held after the City Garden design competition was completed, calls on officers to produce a report about the practicalities and costings of holding a postal ballot of all Aberdeen electors and asks officers to investigate sourcing funding for the referendum from bodies other than the Council.”</p>	29.06.11	<p><u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 13.09.11</u></p> <p>That subject to the addition of “in principle” following the word “agrees”, the terms of the motion be approved, and that the report on this matter also explore the possibility and practicality of young people, below the age of 18, being included in the referendum, and that this be reported to the next meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee on 15 November, 2011.</p>	<p>At its meeting on 15 November the Committee resolved:</p> <p>(i) to note the contents of the report, and to thank officers for the considerable work which had gone into its preparation;</p> <p>(ii) to agree to refer the report to the consideration of Council on 14 December, 2011;</p> <p>(iii) to instruct officers to report to Council on 14 December, 2011, detailing the cost and feasibility of an independently conducted, statistically significant opinion poll as an alternative way of judging the level of public support for the project. This report should include the feasibility of including young people over</p>	Economic/ Business Development Project Director	15.11.11	Yes

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
				<p>the age of 16 or over in the sample, as well as information on the feasibility of holding a separate stand alone referendum for secondary school children;</p> <p>(iv) to instruct officers to produce a report for submission to Council on 1 December, 2011, seeking approval for the wording of the question(s) to be included in any opinion poll or referendum, and that this report be the subject of consultation with Aberdeen City Gardens Trust Ltd and the Friends of Union Terrace Gardens; and</p> <p>(v) to recommend to Council that should Mr Mike Shepherd, submit a further request for deputation to Council on 14 December,</p>			

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
				<p>2011, regarding this matter, that Council should agree to hear the request.</p> <p>A report was considered by Council and a decision made on 14 December 2011.</p> <p>Recommended for removal.</p>			
2.	<p><u>Motion by Councillor Yuill</u></p> <p>“That Aberdeen City Council agrees to instruct officers to prepare a report on both the feasibility of developing, in partnership with Aberdeenshire Council and Perth and Kinross Council, a long distance footpath – The Royal Deeside and Perthshire Way – from Fittie to Perth via Deeside and ways in which this project might be funded.”</p>	27.04.11	<p><u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 13.09.11</u></p> <p>Amongst, other things, to request officers to participate in the Royal Deeside, Angus and Perthshire Way Steering Group which was already discussing the establishment of the “Pictish Way”, and that officers report back to the next meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee regarding the terms of the motion, and in particular detailing the outstanding aspects required to establish and signpost the</p>	A report is on the agenda.	Head of Planning and Sustainable Development	31.1.12	No

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
			proposed "Pictish Way", as well as the financial cost of achieving this.				
3.	<u>Motion by Councillor Yuill</u> "That, given the ongoing difficulties caused by HGVs using Broomhill Road as a through route, Council instructs officers to report to the appropriate committee on the introduction of an 'except for access' weight or width restriction on some or all of Broomhill Road between Holburn Street and South Anderson Drive."	17.08.11	<u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 13.09.11</u> To request officers to report on the terms of the motion to a future meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee.	Additional surveys are required to be carried out and it is intended to submit a report to the Committee at its meeting on 31 January. A report is on the agenda.	Head of Asset Management and Operations	31.1.12	Yes – to be decided on consideration of the report.
4.	<u>Motion by Councillor Yuill</u> "That this Council instructs officers to report to the appropriate committee on the extension westwards to a point west of Northcote Road of the 30mph speed limit on	17.08.11	<u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 13.09.11</u> To request officers to report on the terms of the motion to a future meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee.	The restrictions proposed by Councillor Yuill have already been promoted, however they were never implemented due to ongoing discussions with the International school. These restrictions have now become time restricted and additional surveys are required to be carried out. It	Head of Asset Management and Operations	31.1.12	Yes – to be decided on consideration of the report.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
	North Deeside Road, Mannofield.”			is intended to submit a report to the Committee at its meeting on 31 January. A report is on the agenda.			
5.	<u>Motion by Councillor Yuill</u> “That, given the ongoing difficulties caused by lorries using Morningside Road, Cranford Road and Duthie Terrace as through routes so as to avoid the junction of Great Western Road and South Anderson Drive, Council instructs officers to report to the appropriate committee on the introduction of an ‘except for access’ weight restriction on these roads plus that part of Hammerfield Avenue not already covered by such a restriction.”	17.08.11	<u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 13.09.11</u> To request officers to report on the terms of the motion to a future meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee.	Additional surveys are required to be carried out and it is intended to submit a report to the Committee at its meeting on 31 January. A report is on the agenda.	Head of Asset Management and Operations	31.1.12	Yes – to be decided on consideration of the report.

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
6.	<p><u>Motion by Councillor Yuill</u></p> <p>“That, given the significant inconvenience and difficulties caused to householders and others by bollards opposite the entrance from Deeside Drive to the rear lane lying between the rear of properties on Deeside Drive and Deeside Crescent, Council instructs officers to report to the appropriate committee on the removal of these bollards.”</p>	17.08.11	<p><u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 13.09.11</u></p> <p>To request officers to report on the terms of the motion to a future meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee.</p>	<p>Officers are required to liaise with the Public Utility companies and carry out some design work in relation to the Notice of Motion. It is intended that this work will be submitted to the Committee at its meeting on 31 January.</p> <p>A report is on the agenda.</p>	Head of Asset Management and Operations	31.1.12	Yes – to be decided on consideration of the report.
7.	<p><u>Motion by Councillor Farquharson</u></p> <p>“Council is asked to set up a working party to explore the possibility of changing the whole structure of traffic speed controls within the city of Aberdeen. Such a study should start from the principle of having all housing sub divisions</p>	6.10.11	<p><u>Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure 15.11.11</u></p> <p>To request officers to submit a report on the terms of the motion to a future meeting of the Enterprise, Planning and Infrastructure Committee, and to agree that a Working Group should not be established for this purpose.</p>	A report is on the agenda.	Head of Asset Management and Operations	31.1.12	No

<u>No.</u>	<u>Motion</u>	<u>Date of Council Meeting</u>	<u>Committee Motion referred to / date/ decision of Committee</u>	<u>Action taken / Proposed Future Action</u>	<u>Responsible Head(s) of Service</u>	<u>Due Date</u>	<u>Is authority sought to remove motion from list?</u>
	<p>designated as 20mph traffic zones within arterial or main roads being designated as 30 or 40mph maximum speed zones.</p> <p>The objective is to create standardisation in the interests of road safety and to alleviate confusion in the minds of drivers. This has become necessary due to the vast array of speed bumps, mandatory 20mph roads and advisory 20mph roads. As a result of these changes it is intended to educate the public in terms of safe driving. The working group should comprise Council officers and token Councillor representation, reporting to Council or Committee as necessary.”</p>						